UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/240,048	01/29/1999	JOHN PATRICK AINSWORTH	4062.54US01	6852
	7590 02/25/200 THUENTE, SKAAR &	EXAMINER		
4800 IDS CEN	TER	ORTIZ, BELIX M		
80 SOUTH 8TI MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55402-2100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2164		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/240,048	AINSWORTH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BELIX M. ORTIZ	2164		

BI	ELIX M. ORTIZ	2164	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following rep application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR periods:	e same day as filing a Notice of A lies: (1) an amendment, affidavit (with appeal fee) in compliance v	Appeal. To avoid aband , or other evidence, wh with 37 CFR 41.31; or (	ich places the 3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advis no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth i than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensional and the state of the short set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ion and the corresponding amount of tened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropriate nally set in the final Office	e extension fee action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliar filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensic Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS	on thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the a	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consic  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corr	leration and/or search (see NOT form for appeal by materially red	E below); lucing or simplifying the	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow.	See attached Notice of Non-Cor	npliant Amendment (P <sup>-</sup>	
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1, 4-5, 23-87.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an exp	lanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and su was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a N entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary ar	come <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	
<ul> <li>10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but do</li> </ul>		•	
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PT		Condition for allowance	, because.
13.  Other:			
/Charles Rones/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2164			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicants' arguments that "Mukherjee, does not teach or suggest "selecting and retrieving of a rule determines, at least in part, whether to fulfill the request aspects of the user...", the arguments have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive, because Mukherjee on col. 5, lines 1-5 he teaches " A set of expert system rules 128 also resides in database 129". And on col. 5, lines 30-56 he teaches " As one example, if a user were to select a choice on display 130

indicating that his marital status is "single," one rule could be fired to assert a condition that the person is single, and another rule could be fired (based on that assertion) removing any fields from display 130 relating to spouses. As another example, if a user were to indicate in response to a query that she has three children, a rule could fire that generates three separate blocks of data fields on display 130, one for each child (i.e., name, social security number and date of birth for each child)", where he teaches "whether to fulfill the request based on one or more aspects of the identity of the user and further includes at least one variable parameter representing information pertaining to a function of the user interface".